File(103/21/2008, Page 1 of 3

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS AMERICAN STATE EXCHANGE STATE EXCHANGE STATE EXCHANGE STATE EXCHANGE STATE EXCHANGE OF TELLINOIS Plaintiff(s) Case No.# 17-1000 # 7-2080 # 07-2004 MCDONAL95 CONG Tob State Potate Har A John 3-11- FO CHINESTER JA 07 3000 MEDAY # 07111152463 Mc Comp # 84 / - 2day Defendant(s) 08CV1665 MCDONALLE CY - 2064 3 STATE PURCENT JUDGE CASTILLO 47 MINE 403 & 4 TO WINDOWN MAGISTRATE JUDGE KEYS AND I RESIDE AFTISIZED A LASALLE DRIFTE CHICACO FILINOISTGOGIO. HIS MY CAMPLAINT MY CASE SHAFT I HAD AGANISON DONNESS JUNE 100 N RIVEROIDE PLAZA SANGES IN SES ECOGO 150 CASE NO -OGL 3700 which was decketed AS APP EAL 07-208/IN THE APPELLATE COURT 2/22/08 MCDONALDSCRP 07 2004- MODON 09-15/152463 I SUED MC DONALDS FOR A BRENCHE of CONTRACT FAREGARDS 'LO MINOPOLY MANTE THAT I WOND I-MILLION DOUGHES My SOC SEC NO 18 333-420802# FAM A EX SUCKENT At the MINUELSITE HISTORING PRIMINE SISTRE

THE Case 1:08-cv-01665 pocument 1 College 15 1/2010 HEARE 2 5/3/20/08 the court has Juris duction over 'Elis CASE DECAUSE This the Dist IN Which that I file my APPEAL flown VIE STATE APPLELLHIE COURT. TWAINT THE COURT YOURT ME JUSTICE IN Yhis APPEAL AG HINSE MODONALOS CORP. for this montary REASON DOR breache of Contracton MED ONAL OS BEHAFE FUVOULING D MINOPOLY GAME Which Whith I won fiegally. for 1,010,00 DOLLS to which NONS STATE THINK IT WAS SO GOOD OR SOOT POLDO GEARS At White Sum.

CLERK'S OFFICE

Appellate Court First District

STEVEN M. RAVID CLERK,

STATE OF ILLINOIS 160 NORTH LASALLE STREET, RM S1400 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60601

February 29, 2008

Mr. Douglas Tutankhatum 1512 N. LaSalle Chicago, IL 60610

In Re:

07-2064

07-2081

Dear Mr. Tutankhatum:

In response to the documents that you recently sent to the Clerk of the Appellate Court, it is unclear to which appeal your documents relate, and what, if any, relief you are seeking from the Court.

In regard to your appeal from Circuit case number 06L3700, which was docketed as appeal 07-2081, the Appellate Court dismissed your appeal on February 22, 2008 for want of prosecution because you failed to file the record on appeal as required by Supreme Court Rule 326. Under the Supreme Court Rules, you have 21 days from that date, which would be to and including March 14, 2008, to ask the Appellate Court to reconsider this dismissal by filing a proper motion to reconsider, or a Petition for Rehearing. After that 21 day period, the Appellate Court will cease to have jurisdiction over your case, and will not be able to consider any matter relating to that appeal.

In regard to your appeal from Circuit Court case number 07M1152463, which has been assigned appeal number 07-2064, that case remains pending before the Appellate Court. However, you have not yet filed your appellant's brief as required by Supreme Court Rule 341. The brief was due to be filed on or before January 25, 2008. If you do not file a motion for extension of time to file your brief, or submit your brief with a motion to file it instanter (meaning that you are submitting the brief along with a motion asking for permission to file the brief because it is late), the Court at some point will dismiss this appeal, too, for want of prosecution.